



Hearings in the New Era: Are You Ready?

Training Packet*

July 22 & 23, 2020

*This training packet is intended for educational purposes and not as a sample document.

©Grand River Solutions, Inc., 2020. Copyrighted material. Express permission to post training materials for those who attended a training provided by Grand River Solutions is granted to comply with 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(10)(i)(D). These training materials are intended for use by licensees only. Use of this material for any other reason without permission is prohibited.

Report

Date

Name of Investigator

Case Number

Background:

Complainant informed her RA that she had been sexually assaulted by Respondent, and the RA then forwarded that information to the Title IX Coordinator. Complainant filed a Formal Complaint on November 24, 2019. Specifically, as stated in the December 1, 2019 Notice of Investigation, Complainant alleged that Respondent sexually assaulted her on August 31, 2019, by engaging in sexual activity at a time when Complainant lacked the capacity to consent to sexual activity.

Relevant Policy:

The following are among the forms of sexual misconduct that violate Gotham College policy and their associated definitions:

SEXUAL ASSAULT:

Any sexual act directed against another person, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent.

This includes

(a) Sexual intercourse, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity, and

(b) Oral or anal sexual intercourse with another person, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity, and

(c) The touching of the private body parts of another person for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

CONSENT: In order to understand this Policy, and the standards of behavior Gotham College expects, it is vital that students and other community members understand the concept of affirmative consent. This must be understood and accepted to be a member of the Gotham College community. Gotham College defines affirmative consent according to the following guidelines:

1. Consent IS:

An active, ongoing, and voluntary agreement by each participant to engage in sexual activity or sexual contact, communicated by clear actions or words.

2. Consent IS NOT present:

. . . when someone is incapacitated (including due to consumption of alcohol or drugs, lack of sleep or unconsciousness); an incapacitated person cannot consent.

. . . simply because the person has consented to sexual activity in the past; past consent to sexual activity does not imply present or future consent to sexual activity; current consent must be communicated by clear actions or words.

. . . because the other person is silent or does not resist sexual contact; again, consent must be communicated by clear actions or words.

. . . if the person has withdrawn their consent, which the person may withdraw at any time.

. . . if there is coercion, force, threats, or the absence of true choice, any of which invalidates consent.

Incapacitation due to alcohol or drugs. Gotham College will consider a variety of relevant factors when determining whether someone is incapacitated as result of alcohol or drugs. Those factors include, but are not limited to, ability to control one's physical movements such as stumbling or falling, the lack of awareness of the current circumstances or surroundings, slurred or incomprehensible speech, the ability to communicate, combativeness or other emotional volatility, vomiting or incontinence. The determination of incapacitation is based on objective and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

Complainant's Statement:

Complainant stated that she had been sexually assaulted by Respondent on August 31, 2019. She stated that she had known Respondent prior to the incident, but she did not consider that they were friends.

Complainant stated that she is in an all-female vocal group, Floral Choral, and that all of the vocal groups on campus had been invited to a party. She believes that the party had been intended as a celebration of someone's birthday, but she could not recall.

She stated that, before going to the party, she and Witness 1 (also a member of Floral Choral) got ready at Complainant's apartment. She explained that she and Witness 1 are "really into make-up," and so they spent time perfecting their make-up for the party. She stated that she helped Witness 1 with her make-up because Witness 1 has been talking to someone she liked, Student 1, whom she knew would be at the party, and so Witness 1 wanted to feel "extra special."

Complainant stated that Witness 1 had arrived at her apartment "at like 7 pm ish," and they then ordered some food. She stated that they ordered pizza because they wanted to make sure they had plenty of carbs to eat before starting to drink. She stated that they stayed at her apartment until approximately 10 pm, when they took an Uber to the party. Complainant recalled that Witness 1 called the Uber, because Witness 1 knew the address.

When asked if they had been drinking while getting ready, Complainant stated that Witness 1 had brought a bottle of pink champagne with her to Complainant's apartment, and they finished the bottle before going to the party. Complainant stated that she did not own glasses, so they each used a water bottle as a glass. Complainant did not know whether she or Witness 1 had consumed more of the champagne than the other, but she stated that she felt "like Witness 1 drank most of it, besides what I had poured in my water bottle."

Complainant thinks there were maybe 20 people at the party when she arrived, and then she got a beer. She stated that "once we got our beers, people started really showing up." She stated that she and Witness 1 found a place to sit by the kitchen area, and that they made a point to stay in the kitchen and away from the living room because it was really hot and muggy, and there was a huge window in the kitchen that was open and the breeze felt good. She stated that they "mostly just said hi to people as they came into to get drinks." She stated that she recalled speaking with Student 2, who is also in Floral Choral, but could not recall whether they were talking at the start of the party, or later. She said she remembered talking to Student 2 about Student 2's boyfriend, who was not at the party.

Complainant stated that Respondent was at the party, and she recalled speaking with him. She stated that his vocal group, the Cleftomaniacs, were the party's hosts, and she thought one or more of the Cleftomaniacs lived in the apartment where the party had been held. She stated

that she was with her friend Witness 1 the whole night. She believes that everyone from Floral Choral was at the party.

Complainant stated that she first met Respondent when they were both freshmen, as they were both involved in a cappella groups at school. She described their relationship as "like friends but not really. Like we didn't hang out together on purpose, but definitely saw each other around and at like competitions and stuff, we were cordial, and stuff."

Complainant recalled that she started speaking with Respondent at the party and that Witness 1 walked away from her while she was talking to Respondent. Complainant recalls that she was talking to Respondent about his job as the student assistant choral director for the Cleftomaniacs, a group she described as being "pretty big here." She stated that she was hoping to become the assistant choral director for her vocal group, and so she was "picking his brain about it." Complainant stated that she does not recall how long she spoke with Respondent, and does not recall how long Witness 1 was away from her side.

Complainant said that there was a keg of beer in the kitchen, and she poured herself a beer when she first got there. She said that, because she was near the keg the whole night, people were often topping up her cup. She said that Respondent had refilled her cup "at least once or twice."

Complainant stated that she started to feel drunk at some point, and did not have clear recollections of the party. She stated that she felt fine at the party, but then "suddenly I wasn't." She believed that Witness 1 left the party with Student 1, but was not certain. She stated that Respondent may have offered to walk her home, but she was not certain. She stated that she did not remember the end of the party, or leaving the party, but remembered walking up a hill, thinking that it was so rainy and wet, and thinking that she was heading back to her apartment, then "next thing I knew I was in Respondent's dorm room." When asked if she had ever been in his dorm room before, she said no. When asked to describe the room, she recalled that there was a chair and a "desk or table thing," a bed, and a window. She believed that he had a bathroom. She did not recall seeing anyone else at the dorm, and does not know if she saw his suite mate.

She stated that she recalled sitting on Respondent's lap on the chair, and had a distinct recollection of then being pushed by Respondent. She stated that she remembered being pushed down so that her knees slid off the chair and onto the floor. She recalled hearing him say something about his wanting to see her face, but she could not recall exactly what he said. She stated that "there was like a time where he said go down on me and I did." She does not recall if either or them were wearing clothes while she was sitting on his lap.

Complainant recalled feeling pain, and hearing Respondent's voice, but could not recall what he was saying. She stated that "at some point my skirt was off, and he was not wearing

anything from the waist down." She stated that her recollections were "so fuzzy and it is crazy I never get that drunk, I don't know if something else happened, I mean, I just don't know."

She stated that she had never before blacked out, but has no specific memories of what occurred when she was at his apartment. She stated that, at some point, she was lying on her back on his bed, and woke up to find that he was touching her genitals. She stated that she recalled trying to push his hand away, but believed she was not successful because he continued to forcefully rub the outside of her vagina.

When asked to describe the pain, she stated that she remembered feeling a sharp pain in her vagina, and that she continued to be sore for two or three days after the incident. She stated that Respondent was initially rubbing the outside of her genitals, and that it did not feel good, and that he got on top of her and tried to force his penis into her, and that it hurt and he was not able to penetrate her. She stated that she has a recollection that he grabbed something from a desk or table by the bed and she believes it was a tube of lubrication. She stated that she then felt him push his penis into her, and stated that she just remembers feeling that "this was something I had to get through." When asked if there was any conversation, Complainant stated that she recalls hearing Respondent make comments like "blow me," "turn around," and something about seeing her face, but she was unable to recall the order in which the comments were made, nor could she recall what was taking place when he made the comments. She did not recall having said anything to Respondent while this was taking place. Complainant also recalled the sensation of being turned or flipped over by Respondent, but then recalls nothing else until she woke up in the morning. She stated that she woke up on the couch in his room with a blanket over her, and initially she panicked because she did not know where she was. She stated that she then realized that she could smell vomit, and there was vomit in her hair and she was not wearing any underwear. She stated that she felt very "fuzzy" and had a terrible headache, but forced herself to get up to find her underwear so she could leave. She stated that it was too hard to get up at first, and she texted her friend Student 2 asking Ashely to come pick her up, but she did not know the name of his dorm building. She stated that Student 2 knew where Respondent lived and came to pick her up. Complainant stated that she felt really fuzzy and remembers walking out without her shoes, and then Student 2 went back in to get her shoes for her. Complainant stated that she never did find her underwear. Complainant stated that she fell back asleep in the car and did not really wake up until later in the day.

She stated that she was also spotting small amounts of blood and having "all that pain," and so she went to a rape treatment center. She stated that she worried that she might be bleeding internally, and stated that the SANE nurse told her she had both external and internal bruising and small tears where he had penetrated her, and that the bleeding was not connected to menstrual bleeding. She stated that she kept spotting and bleeding for 2 or 3 days. Complainant stated that, at first, she was having a hard time remembering what had happened, and so she texted a few friends to ask them if they knew when she had left the party. She stated that she bumped into Respondent a few times because of their mutual

involvement in the vocal groups, and he never apologized to her or said anything to her, so she decided to text him and ask him if he would get together with her. She stated that he agreed, and they met at the Campus Café for a coffee. She stated that their conversation was “really awkward and uncomfortable,” but she really wanted to know why he had sex with her despite knowing that she was so drunk. She stated that he kept stammering and saying “what are you talking about?” in a “really defensive way.” She stated that she finally just asked him “How did you not know I was passed out while we were having sex?” and, when he said nothing to her, she just stormed out. She stated that she then blocked Respondent on her phone and all social media. She stated that she had also deleted all texts and social media conversations she had with him, as she did not want to see his name on anything.

Complainant provided text messages she had exchanged with friends in the days after the party, in which she asked them what they remembered. In support of her contention that she had been incapacitated while at the party, she provided the screen shot of a text message she had sent to Witness 3 the day after the party, in which she asked Witness 3 details about the party, including when Witness 3 had left the party, and whether Witness 3 recalled when Complainant had left the party.

Complainant also provided a copy of the cover sheet from her visit to the Rape Treatment Center (RTC), showing that she had gone to the RTC on September 2, 2019, along with information regarding the clinical findings. She also provided the mostly-redacted police report, as the RTC staff had contacted the police on her behalf while she was awaiting the forensic exam.

Further, she provided screenshots of messages with Respondent, and also showed her phone to the investigator, who could see the same messages as those submitted in screen shots. In one, dated approximately three days after Witness 6’s party, she writes to Respondent “how did you not know I was passed out while you were having sex with me?” Respondent texts back “No, that’s not true, you passed out later.”

Complainant was also asked to submit relevant phone records. No records were provided.

Witness 2

Witness 2 stated that he had been at Witness 6’s party on August 31. He stated that the party had taken place at Witness 6’s apartment. He explained that it had been Witness 6’s birthday, and so several of the vocal groups decided to get together for a celebration. Witness 2 recalled that Witness 6’s roommates had purchased a keg for the party. Witness 2 stated that he did not have too much to drink, maybe “6-7 beers the whole night.”

Witness 2 stated that he arrived at the party around 11 pm with a friend, Student 3. He recalled seeing Respondent at the party, and says he spoke to Respondent about a girl, Student 4, who was at the party, and about being too nervous to approach her. Witness 2

stated that Respondent mentioned that Student 4 was just starting to learn to play guitar, and so he (Witness 2) went over and spoke with Student 4 about her guitar playing.

Witness 2 stated that he continued talking to Student 4 for the rest of the night, and did not recall seeing either Complainant or Respondent until he left the party with Student 3 around 1:30 am. Witness 2 stated that Respondent's ex-girlfriend had come to the party and Respondent seemed "really bothered," and so he and Student 3 invited Respondent to go out to get some food. He stated that Respondent declined the invitation and said he was going to walk Complainant back to her apartment. Witness 2 stated that Respondent rarely drinks, and so he often walks drunk girls home after parties "because he's cool and steady, and that kind of nice guy."

Witness 3

Witness 3 stated that she was at Witness 6's birthday party on August 31. She explained that it was Witness 6's 21st birthday, and so she and some of Witness 6's other friends thought that Witness 6 should have a big party.

Witness 3 stated that she initially wasn't going to attend the party because she had too much school work, but ended up going out of loyalty to Witness 6, and also because she hadn't seen Respondent in a while and wanted to catch up with him. She stated that she arrived between 11:30 pm and midnight. She stated that she stayed for less than an hour before leaving. Witness 3 stated that she almost never drinks, and was glad that Respondent was also at the party so that she would have another non-drinker to talk to. When asked to confirm that she had not consumed any alcohol at the party, Witness 3 stated that she had "almost none, just like two beers."

When she arrived, she first found Witness 6 to wish him a happy birthday, then went over to talk to Respondent. Witness 3 said that Respondent was talking to Witness 1 and Complainant, and so he walked over to say hello. Witness 3 stated that she has known Respondent since they met in freshman year, and that they have a "brother/sister type of relationship." Witness 3 stated that she also knows Complainant from their interactions at different a capella group gatherings, and also because she sometimes watches Complainant's YouTube channel, "Styled by Soprano." Witness 3 explained that Complainant, who is a soprano, has a popular YouTube channel in which she offers make-up and fashion tips. Witness 3 stated that she was surprised to find out that Complainant was alleging that she had been really drunk at the party, as Witness 3 noted that Complainant had uploaded a YouTube video on mascara comparisons the morning of Saturday, September 1. Witness 3 stated that she remembered that Complainant was drinking a beer while they were chatting, and "smiling and batting her eyes at Respondent a lot, but she was just talking about you know life stuff, music stuff." Witness 3 stated that she was "a bit" annoyed with Complainant because she (Witness 3) had really wanted to hang out with Respondent. Witness 3 added that Respondent did "not seem very into" Complainant, and Complainant kept trying to get Respondent to

focus on her by having him refill her beer from the keg, although Witness 3 was not certain whether Respondent ever did refill Complainant's beer.

When asked if anyone at the party had seemed to be very drunk, or to have over-consumed alcohol, Witness 3 recalled that Witness 6 was "pretty wasted," but no one else appeared to be drunk. She stated, "It is not like we get super crazy at these parties, there is a keg, everyone has some beers and catches up."

When asked if she had received any text messages from Complainant after the party, Witness 3 stated that she had and provided screen shots. The day after the party, Complainant had Texted to ask Witness 3 details about the party, including when Witness 3 had left the party, and whether Witness 3 recalled when Complainant had left the party. In a reply text, Witness 3 wrote "I don't know when you left, but you seemed fine when I was talking to you. Pretty flirty."

Witness 4

Witness 4 stated that he had been at Witness 6's birthday party. He stated that he attended with other members of his vocal group. Witness 4 stated that he saw Respondent and two girls standing in the kitchen and laughing when he got there, and so he went over to talk to them. He stated that he was trying to be witty and say something funny, and "I remember totally getting blown off by some of the ladies in Floral Choral, that was pretty much it." Witness 4 said it seemed as if everyone was paying a lot of attention to Respondent, and so he asked "hey man are you almost done giving interviews?" He stated that Respondent laughed and put an arm over Witness 4's shoulder, but the two girls didn't laugh. Witness 4 stated that Respondent was trying to include him in the conversation, but the brunette was "really all about Respondent," and so Witness 4 just walked away.

Witness 5

Witness 5 stated that he is Respondent's suite mate. He described that their dorm room had a central area, and then each of their bedrooms connected to that central area. He stated that Respondent had invited him to Witness 6's 21st birthday party, but he had a huge class project due and so he did not attend the party.

Witness 5 stated that he was at home when Respondent returned home with a girl with dark hair. He stated that he did not know her at the time, but knew that she in the all-girl vocal group. Witness 5 stated that he now knows that the girl was Complainant. Witness 5 stated that he was walking back from the dorm kitchen down the hall to their suite with some cereal when Respondent got to the door. Witness 5 recalled that the girl came in first and seemed shy and said nothing, and then Respondent walked in. Witness 5 recalled that they seemed really wet, because there had been a sudden summer storm and a huge cloudburst. Witness 5 said they all talked for a moment about the party, most likely for less than a minute, and then Witness 5 went back to his room to continue working. Witness 5 stated that neither Respondent nor the girl seemed drunk. Witness 5 did not hear any noises or see anything else

until Respondent came in to get him around 1:30 am. Witness 5 stated that Respondent was "really freaked out" because Complainant had vomited in his bed and then fallen asleep. Witness 5 said that Respondent came in asking him to help, and so he went to Respondent's room and saw Complainant in the bed, lying face down in some vomit. Witness 5 stated that he and Respondent kept trying to get her to wake up, but she didn't, although she moaned a bit and was saying some "nonsense words." He stated that they picked her up and put her on the couch, and that Witness 5 tried to wipe the vomit off her. When asked, he recalled that she was dressed. Witness 5 said they covered her with a blanket, and made sure to turn her to her side in case she vomited again. Witness 5 said that Respondent then started to strip his bed in order to change the sheets, and he (Witness 5) then returned to his room to continue studying. He did not recall seeing her in the morning when he came out of his room to get some coffee around 10 a.m.

Witness Witness 6

Has no recollection of anything after 7 pm on the night of his party. He acknowledged that he was "way too far out of it." He stated that the next day he heard from some friends that Respondent had walked Complainant home and then had sex with her. Witness 6 provided screenshots of the text messages he exchanged with Respondent.

The following exchange was provided by Witness 6.

Witness 6: Hey, u get lucky with her? I hear you're the only guy who hasn't been with her yet

Respondent: Yeah, but she's not great in bed, she started strong but then just lay there made me to all the work She def boring in bed And then got sick in my bed, what a joke she is

Witness 6: I hear she was plenty drunk U sure she was with it thru whole thing

Respondent: Not sure, maybe that why she so pissed

Witness Student 2

Student 2 stated that she had gone to Witness 6's party, but only stayed for "maybe half an hour or an hour," and left because she had promised to go catch up with her boyfriend. She believes she arrived around 10:30 pm "more or less." She is certain she was Student 2 recalled that a "bunch of the Cleftomaniacs were there, obviously it was their party, my girls from Floral Choral, Pitch Slapped, maybe Treble Threat too." She does not remember speaking with anyone in particular.

She stated that she received a text from Complainant around 8 am the next day, and Complainant texted "I don't know what happened and feel really sick, can you get me?" Student 2 stated that as she was about to text back, Complainant sent another text saying "At Respondent's." Student 2 said that she really had no idea what could be going on, but agreed to go over to Respondent's dorm to get Complainant. She stated that she had previously been to Respondent's dorm several times to either hang out, or because she once had a big school project with Witness 5. Student 2 said she knocked on the door and no one answered,

so she texted Complainant "I'm here," and Complainant replied with "k" but then she waited a few minutes and didn't see Complainant, so Student 2 decided to try the door, which was unlocked. She stated she then walked and, as she walked in, Complainant was walking from a bedroom, wrapped in a blanket and not wearing any shoes. Student 2 said Complainant looked "awful," and "smelled, there was vomit on her." Student 2 said she helped Complainant into her car, then went back in to see if she could find Complainant's shoes, which she found in the living room area, not far from the front door. Student 2 said she brought Complainant back to Complainant's dorm room and put Complainant to bed. Ashely stated that Complainant did not talk to her, other than mumbling that she felt ill.

Student 2 stated that she texted Complainant around 4 pm the next day to check on Complainant, who texted back that she was "really hung over," and "not sure what happened." Complainant texted Student 2 to ask "Do you know how I got to Respondent's?" and then later texted "I think he had sex with me, after I passed out." Student 2 stated that she tried to reach Complainant a few more times that night and the next day, but got no responses. Student 2 stated that she then texted Complainant's roommate, who texted that she was "taking care of Complainant." The roommate also texted "I don't know what happened to her, but wow, that was one bad hangover. It's been 3 days, and she still seems completely out of it."

Witness 1

Witness 1 stated that she had been invited to Witness 6's birthday party on August 31. She stated that Witness 6 lived with two other guys from Cleftomaniacs, and so most of the Cleftomaniacs were at the party.

Witness 1 stated that she went to Complainant's apartment before the party to get ready, and that Complainant did her make-up. Witness 1 explained that Complainant has a popular YouTube channel about makeup and is "really talented." Witness 1 stated that they "carbo loaded" before going to the party, and also consumed a bottle of pink champagne she had brought to Complainant's apartment. Witness 1 stated that she "chugged" her champagne because she was trying to work up the courage to talk to Student 1 at the party. Witness 1 could not recall how much champagne she consumed, nor could she recall how much Complainant might have consumed.

Witness 1 stated that she called an Uber and they went to the party. She stated that there were not many people when they arrived. She stated that she could not recall when they arrived. She stated that more people started to arrive soon after she and Complainant had gotten there, and that Student 1 arrived after midnight.

Witness 1 stated that she stayed with Complainant most of the night while Complainant was talking with the assistant director for the Cleftomaniacs, Respondent. Witness 1 stated that Complainant really wanted to have the same position in Floral Choral, and so Complainant was asking Respondent about his role. Witness 1 said that, as the evening went on, Complainant

was drinking more and more beer, possibly because it was so warm and humid that night. She remembered seeing Respondent refill Complainant's beer "at least two times, maybe more." She recalled that Complainant started to laugh really hard at everyone's jokes, and was "wobbly". When asked what she meant by "wobbly," Witness 1 explained "she looked like she might just fall over at any moment, and was just sort of swaying back and forth." When asked if Complainant ever fell down, Witness 1 said "no, but she was standing close to the counter most of the night, and seemed to move closer to the counter as the night went on and the kitchen got more crowded, and the counter sort of propped her up." Witness 1 also remembered that Complainant had spilled her beer on herself a few times, but it had not been particularly noticeable.

Witness 1 stated that she left with Student 1 around 1 am. She stated that, before leaving, she told Respondent that she was leaving and asked him if he would walk Complainant back to her dorm, which was about 4 blocks away.

Witness 1 stated that, other than Witness 6, no one at the party was "super fall-down drunk."

Respondent:

Respondent stated that he attended Witness 6's party, but did not drink any alcohol that night or take any drugs. He said that he saw Complainant nursing one beer the whole night. He said that Complainant was both flirting with him and pestering him with questions about his role with the Cleftomaniacs, but then she then started talking to other people. She came with her friend Witness 1, but Witness 1 left with Student 1. He stated that, when he saw Witness 1 was leaving, he had told Witness 1 that he would walk complainant back to her dorm.

Respondent stated that they passed his place along the way and they ducked into his apartment because of a sudden summer rain storm. He said they took off their soaked shoes (and his soaked socks) and had a quick chat with his roommate, who was walking through the living room when they came in. He stated that other than being soaked by the rain, she seemed "fine." He stated that he walked to his room to get her a pair of dry socks and she followed him and started kissing him, which surprised him, although he could not explain why it surprised him. He stated that she sat down on his bed to change her socks and then she unbuttoned and unzipped his jeans as he stood next to the bed. He said that she commented, wow, your jeans are soaked. He said he believed she was encouraging him to take them off so they could have sex, so he did so, and then pushed her down on the bed. He stated that they kissed for a few minutes and that she started giving him oral sex. He said that he then pulled off her underwear and started fingering her. He said she "seemed into it" but that she was very passive and made him do all the work, which annoyed him because "she was the one who started it." He stated that he turned her over so she was on her stomach and he started to have sex with her from behind, but that she did not seem to be participating at all and seemed bored. He recalled thinking to himself "Like maybe she's done this so many times, it's not a big deal to her." He said they stopped having sex and that he just laid down and fell asleep. He stated that he woke up thirsty a little while later, so he got up to get water and when he

came back to bed, he smelled vomit and realized she had thrown up in his bed. He stated that he tried to wake her up, but that she was passed out. He stated that he got his roommate to help him lift her out of his bed and put her on the couch.

He stated that, when he woke up, Complainant was gone.

He stated that he did not reach out to Complainant, because he really did not want to have a relationship with her. He stated that he then received a text from Complainant "at some point," asking him to meet her. He said he went because he assumed she wanted to apologize for throwing up in his bed, but instead she "started saying all these crazy things" and blaming him for "how everything happened." He said he did not know how to respond because she was "so completely off."

Respondent submitted screen shots of texts, and also showed his phone to the investigator, who could see the same messages as those submitted in screen shots. Respondent was also asked to provide telephone records, which he provided.

Follow-Up Interview with Complainant

After having spoken with Complainant, Respondent's comments were shared with her. She acknowledged having contacted Respondent approximately two weeks after Witness 6's party, and asking him to have coffee. When asked to share her thinking as to why she had contacted Respondent, she stated that she had been really confused about what had happened, and could not understand how someone she had always perceived as "so nice," could have engaged in such a violent act. She stated that she was surprised that he had not known that she was likely completely passed out while they were still having sex, and she wanted to give him a chance to explain his behavior, so that she could better process what had happened.

In response to having read some of the comments by Respondent and witnesses in which they suggested that she has had a lot of sex with different men, Complainant noted that, while it was true that she had engaged in a lot of sex, she was also really clear about the difference between drunk sex and being passed out. She also noted that she would never have had sex with Respondent because there was no condom, and "I would never have sex with anyone without a condom." She also commented on having had oral sex with Respondent, stating, "I sort of have a vague recollection, it's jumbled, but I sort of remember, and I do remember pulling at his wet pants because I remember the feel of the wet denim in my hand. I'm not saying the oral sex was not consensual, or that I was passed out at that point. It's what happened later, when he penetrated me."

Gotham College Witness List

Complaint Cecily Soprano

Respondent Caleb Case

Witness 1 Christine

Witness 2 Alec West

Witness 3 Kelsea Pratt

Witness 4 Jin-Hoo Kim

Witness 5 Maxwell

Witness 6 Mateo

Witness 7 Ashley

Student 1 Carlos

Student 2 Ashley

Student 3 Jay

Student 4 Heather

December 1, 2019

Caleb Case
123 Main Street
Sent via email

RE: Notice of Formal Complaint

Dear Mr. Case,

This letter is to notify you that a formal investigation of a violation of Gotham College's Sexual Misconduct policy has been initiated. This is based on a report made by Cecily Soprano, in which she alleged that you sexually assaulted her on or around August 31, 2019 by engaging in sexual activity without her consent, which she was unable to give because she lacked the capacity to consent to sexual activity. Such conduct, if true, would be a violation of Gotham College policies. Please know that the decision to move forward with an investigation does not carry with it any determination that you are assumed to have violated the policy; any such determination would be made only after a full review of the evidence, the conclusion of the Gotham College adjudication process.

The Gotham College Sexual Misconduct Policy is found in College Policy 05-001 at <http://gothamcollege.edu/sexual-misconduct>.

The specific policy alleged to have been violated is:

Sexual Assault: Having or attempting to have sexual contact with another individual:

1. By force or threat of force;
2. Without affirmative consent; or
3. Where the individual is incapacitated.

CONSENT: In order to understand this Policy, and the standards of behavior Gotham College expects, it is vital that students and other community members understand the concept of affirmative consent. This must be understood and accepted to be a member of the Gotham College community. Gotham College defines affirmative consent according to the following guidelines:

1. Consent IS:

An active, ongoing, and voluntary agreement by each participant to engage in sexual activity or sexual contact, communicated by clear actions or words.

2. Consent IS NOT present:

... when someone is incapacitated (including due to consumption of alcohol or drugs, lack of sleep or unconsciousness); an incapacitated person cannot consent.

... simply because the person has consented to sexual activity in the past; past consent to sexual activity does not imply present or future consent to sexual activity; current consent must be communicated by clear actions or words.

... because the other person is silent or does not resist sexual contact; again, consent must be communicated by clear actions or words.

... if the person has withdrawn their consent, which the person may withdraw at any time.

... if there is coercion, force, threats, or the absence of true choice, any of which invalidates consent.

Incapacitation due to alcohol or drugs. Gotham College will consider a variety of relevant factors when determining whether someone is incapacitated as result of alcohol or drugs. Those factors include, but are not limited to, ability to control one's physical movements such as stumbling or falling, the lack of awareness of the current circumstances or surroundings, slurred or incomprehensible speech, the ability to communicate, combativeness or other emotional volatility, vomiting or incontinence. The determination of incapacitation is based on objective and reasonably apparent indications of incapacitation when viewed from the perspective of a sober, reasonable person.

You will be provided a full opportunity to respond to the allegations and may also provide any relevant documentary evidence and the identity of potential witnesses.

The Student **Ombuds office** is available for support and information at GothamOmbuds@GothamCollege.edu. Any information shared with the student Ombuds is confidential, and will not be shared with the Title IX office. Additional resources available to you are included with the attached resource sheet.

Please contact me with times you are available, so we can schedule an initial meeting to discuss the process, any questions you might have, and provide an opportunity for you to share information from your perspective on alleged concerns. At that time I can also discuss with you any potential interim measures that might be appropriate during the resolution process.


If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me or the Office of Equal Opportunity and Access at 123-456-7890.

Sincerely,

Jody Shipper
Not Your Title IX Coordinator

Attachments

GRAND RIVER SOLUTIONS



Sweater's not mine. The other, yeah

I couldn't find them this morning lol


Things got pretty crazy last night

In a good way

I had fun

me too

We should do it again



I got your number from Matteo, hope that's ok


I think you might have left something here last night



Hey, yeah, it's k, but I don't think I left anything

There is a green sweater thing in my living room and lacy underwear under my bed. Def not mine lol

Sweater's not mine. The other, yeah

I couldn't find them this morning lol





College News

Monday, November 11, 2019

Sober or Nah? The Truth Be

The "science" behind blood alcohol content is wrong. Students who consume twenty shots in three minutes can not be drunk, a new report shows. For years, those who study alcohol intoxication have been wrong. The new study highlights the quantity of alcohol necessary to actually make a college student drunk is far more than once believed.

Re
foll
imp
The
that
rela
the

The Daily N

Monday, January 6, 2020

Colleges are Anti-Male

Title IX, a gender equity law, only hurts men! First the sports and now the lies. What will they come for next? The Title IX pendulum has swung too far. The Department of Education is investigating Title IX complaints from men who say colleges discriminate against them. The



Hearings in the New Era: Are You Ready?

Training Packet*

July 22 & 23, 2020

*This training packet is intended for educational purposes and not as a sample document.

©Grand River Solutions, Inc., 2020. Copyrighted material. Express permission to post training materials for those who attended a training provided by Grand River Solutions is granted to comply with 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(10)(i)(D). These training materials are intended for use by licensees only. Use of this material for any other reason without permission is prohibited.